1	INTER CENTRA DIGERICA COURT
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS
2	
3	Criminal No. 08-10225-WGY
4	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
5	*
6	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA * *
7	v. * ARRAIGNMENT and PLEA *
/	DAMON PATRICK TOEY *
8	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
9	
10	
11	
12	BEFORE: The Honorable William G. Young,
13	District Judge
14	
15	APPEARANCES:
16	
17	STEPHEN P. HEYMANN, Assistant United States Attorney, 1 Courthouse Way, Suite 9200, Boston,
18	Massachusetts 02210, on behalf of the Government
19	FEDERAL DEFENDER OFFICE (By Syrie D. Fried,
20	Esq.), 408 Atlantic Avenue, Third Floor, Boston, Massachusetts 02210, on behalf of the Defendant
21	
22	
23	1 Courthouse Way
24	Boston, Massachusetts
25	September 11, 2008

```
1
               THE CLERK: Calling Criminal Action 08-10225, the
2
      United States v. Damon Toey.
               And this is a plea to an information. Do you have
 3
      the waiver of indictment?
 4
 5
               MS. FRIED: I do.
               THE COURT: Good afternoon. Would counsel identify
 6
      themselves.
7
               MR. HEYMANN: Your Honor, Stephen Heymann on behalf
9
      of the government.
10
               MS. FRIED: Good afternoon, your Honor. Syrie
      Fried on behalf of Damon Patrick Toey.
11
12
               THE COURT: And who is present.
13
               MS. FRIED: Who is present.
14
               THE COURT: Do I understand, Ms. Fried, that Mr.
15
      Toey desires to, when arraigned, plead guilty to this
16
      information?
17
               MS. FRIED: That's correct, your Honor.
18
               THE COURT: He may come forward to be inquired of.
               THE CLERK: Right up here. And, sir, would you
19
      raise your right hand.
20
               Do you solemnly swear that the answers you will
21
22
      give to this Court will be the truth, the whole truth, and
      nothing but the truth, so help you God?
23
24
               THE DEFENDANT: I do.
25
               THE CLERK: Please be seated.
```

1 INQUIRY BY THE COURT Could you state your full name? 2 Damon Patrick Toey. 3 Α THE COURT: It won't work, Ms. Fried. 4 5 MS. FRIED: Oh, I'm sorry. THE COURT: We're all electronic, but it doesn't 6 7 work. My name is Bill Young. I'm the judge who presides in 8 this session of the Court. Now, your lawyer says -- and I 9 10 see a plea agreement here -- that you desire to plead 11 guilty. 12 That's correct. 13 Wholly apart from this plea agreement, it doesn't count 14 for anything unless you actually plead guilty, and there's 15 various things I have to find out before I can allow you to 16 plead guilty. 17 I have to find out that you know what you're doing 18 today. I have to find out that you know what you're giving up, because you give up things that are terribly important 19 if you plead guilty. I have to find out that you know what 20 21 may happen to you, what you're letting yourself in for, if 22 you plead guilty. 23 I have to find out that you want to plead guilty. 24 Not that you're happy about it, but all things considered, you've decided, not your family, not Ms. Fried, or anybody

else, you've decided that the best thing for you to do is plead guilty. And then I have to find out that the government has enough evidence that if we were to go to trial you could be found guilty of these various charges.

Now, to find these things out I ask you questions, and then to see if they've got any evidence, I ask them what evidence they have, and then I ask you if it's true. If you don't understand something I'm asking you, you've got to stop me because I have to ask it in a way you understand.

Now, do you understand that?

A Yes.

Q Likewise, Ms. Fried's come up to stand by you. And if at any time you want to talk to her, you just turn your chair and I'll step away and you can talk privately.

Because if you plead guilty, I'll be the judge who imposes the sentence. So you're sizing me up even as I'm asking the questions. And if for any reason, listen to the questions, notwithstanding this agreement, the agreement goes away if you don't plead guilty, you would rather have a trial, you just say I would like to stop. I'm not angry. It won't mess up my afternoon. What we'll do, as I mentioned in the case while you were waiting, we'll just schedule your case for trial and we'll get on with it, because you are entitled to a trial.

Now, real world, usually people who plead guilty

```
1
       get a discount on the sentence. Reason? You've spared the
 2
      government the expense of a trial. And if we have a trial,
      usually, one, I give the discount for a plea, and usually I
 3
      won't if there's no plea. But I will never, ever punish
 4
 5
      you, not one day, for going to trial.
                Do you understand that?
 6
 7
      Α
          Yes.
          Now, the do you know what you're doing.
 8
      0
 9
                How old are you, Mr. Toey?
10
           Twenty-three.
      Α
11
          How far did you go in school?
      Q
12
          Eighth grade.
      Α
13
          Have you ever been treated for a mental condition of any
14
      sort?
15
      Α
          No.
16
      Q
          Are you aware of any mental illness that you may have?
17
      Α
          No.
18
          Taking any medication today?
      Q
19
      Α
          No.
20
          Under the influence of drugs?
      Q
21
      Α
          No.
22
          Under the influence of alcohol?
      Q
23
          No.
      Α
24
          Do you know what you're charged with?
      Q
25
      Α
          Yes.
```

Q Tell me.

- A I'm charged with conspiracy, unauthorized access to computer systems, access device fraud, and aggravated identity theft.
 - Q It looks like you've got it just right. Let's take the committing fraud in connection with computers.

Before you can be found guilty of that, the government has got to prove that, using a computer, and knowing what you were doing, you committed fraud.

Now, fraud is to make a statement, or fail to make a statement when you're under a duty to make such a statement, with the idea that somebody else rely upon that statement, to get them to rely upon that statement, they do rely on it, to their detriment, and relying upon the statement, which is untrue, and you know it's untrue, you're going to try and get them to part with money or property, and they do. That's fraud.

That's not enough. This is fraud by using computers. So the Court's going to have to -- the jury would have to find that you used computers, or computer, to commit the fraud. That's one charge.

Another charge is to commit fraud with an access device, a credit card number. So, here what's different is you're using a credit card number to commit fraud. You make a statement that's untrue, which you know is untrue, with

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the idea that someone's going to part with money or property and they do and that's what you intend.

And then the third charge, actually the fourth count, is aggravated identity theft with the sale of credit card dumps. Now, identity theft is to assume the identity by any form of representation of someone else and to pose, by signing or by any identifiers in our world today, that you, or someone you're working with, has the identity falsely of another person.

Conspiracy, this is specific conspiracy, and it charges you with conspiracy to do all these things. prove conspiracy the government has to prove three things. They have to -- and it's different than the other charges. The government has to prove that you and at least one other person, it could be more, but at least one other person, agreed to do something to violate the law, to do something that the law forbids. Second, the government has to prove that the specific idea of the agreement was to commit one or more of these crimes, commit fraud through computers, use of access numbers, credit card devices, and identity theft through the sale of credit card dumps. So they have to prove that specifically what you and the other person or persons had in mind. And then, third, for conspiracy, the government has to prove not that it actually happened, but that one of the conspirators did something to make it come

about. And each of the things I've gone over, the government has to prove those things beyond a reasonable doubt.

Do you understand that?

A Yes.

Q Let's talk about your rights which you'll give up if you plead guilty.

You have the right to a fair and an impartial trial before a jury of the people. You will have some say in who sits on that jury, acting through your attorney, Ms. Fried. The jury will decide, not me, whether you are not guilty or guilty.

At the trial you have the right to confront the witnesses against you, which means you sit right here in the courtroom. You can look at them. But more than just look at them, look them in the eye, your lawyer can ask them questions, cross-examine them. You can introduce evidence on your own behalf. You can testify if you want, but you don't have to. All to demonstrate that in fact there exists some reasonable doubt about one or more of these charges. And if there's a reasonable doubt, you cannot be convicted of that charge.

You likewise have the right to be utterly silent.

Not to say a thing, not to have your attorney say a thing or make any arguments or call any witnesses. To the extent

that you are silent, I will remind the jury that you are an innocent person. You didn't ask to be charged here. The government made the charges. The government has to prove the charges beyond a reasonable doubt. No explanation is required of you.

And lastly, and equally important, I'm going to tell the jury you're innocent. You're going to start the trial innocent. And that same belief I must follow. I see you here saying you want to plead guilty. But we've never met. And I must take you as an innocent person, and I do. And when I explain these things, I'm not giving you a thing; these things are yours, they're your rights.

Do you understand that?

A Yes.

Q Plead guilty, you give them all away. We'll never have a trial. We'll never see any of the evidence against you. The closest we'll come, we'll ask the government what it hopes it can put in evidence against you.

Your right to be silent about these matters, once I sentence you, not today, but once I sentence you and it's over, your right to be silent is gone as to these crimes, these specific crimes.

Now, one of them is conspiracy. That means that somebody else, or more than one person, if other person is involved, they can put you before a grand jury, they can

```
1
      call you as a witness, once I've sentenced you.
 2
               Do you understand those things?
 3
      Α
          Yes.
          Likewise, you plead guilty when you're arraigned on this
 4
      information, and then you're guilty in my eyes and the only
 5
      thing that remains is what sentence I'm going to impose upon
 6
 7
      you.
               Do you understand?
 8
 9
          Yes.
          Now, the government is proceeding against you here by
10
11
      way of something called an information. And that's
12
      perfectly appropriate if you agree, because the government
13
      without your agreement would have to indict you.
14
               Now, I see -- is this a waiver of indictment?
15
      you sign this?
16
          Yes, I did.
17
          Talk it all over with Ms. Fried?
18
          Yes.
      Α
          Do you think you understand it?
19
20
          I do.
      Α
          Let me take just a few minutes.
21
22
               Unless you agree that this information is the
23
      vehicle that we're going to consider here in court, the
24
      charge, they can't come after you in court unless they
25
      indict you. And that means they have to go first to a grand
```

1 jury.

Now, a grand jury is a grand jury of the people.

It's secret. You can't be there. Ms. Fried can't be there.

The grand jury hears only one side, they hear the

government's side, and they vote only by majority vote and

they vote not whether you're guilty or not, but simply is

there probable cause to believe that you are guilty.

The advantage of making them indict you is, if for some reason the grand jury did not indict you, returned what we call a no bill, the government can't come after you on these charges. They've once put it before a jury, a grand jury. If that grand jury says don't indict him it's over.

Now, by waiving indictment, by giving up the indictment, you are agreeing that the vehicle by which they come after you, prosecute you, is this document we call an information.

 $$\operatorname{\textsc{Do}}$$ you waive the indictment and give it up? A Yes.

THE COURT: All right. I find that Mr. Damon Patrick Toey knowingly, intelligently and voluntarily exercises his right to waive the indictment.

Q One other point while we're talking about your rights.

Back in the days when people couldn't read, or not many

people could read, it was a requirement, because this is

your first appearance here, it was a requirement that to

1 arraign someone we would read the charge out in open court 2 so everyone could listen to it, especially the person accused could listen to precisely what the government was 3 charging. You can give that up as well. 4 5 So let me ask you. Have you read the information in this case? 6 7 Α Yes, I have. Talked it all over with Ms. Fried? 8 9 Yes. Do you think you understand it? 10 0 11 I do. Α 12 When we come to that point in the proceeding, do you 13 want the clerk to read the information here in open court? 14 Α No. 15 All right. Now, let's talk about what may happen to 16 you. 17 When congress passes a law they pass a maximum 18 possible penalty. There are times when people get the 19 maximum penalty, but usually, because congress has passed 20 another law, called the sentencing guidelines, which advises judges how to sentence, not too many people get the maximum 21 22 penalty and in some instances it might be unconstitutional 23 to impose the maximum penalty. But we'll start with that. 24 For each of these violations, well, not for each, 25 but for the --

1 MS. FRIED: Your Honor, I have my belief about what the statutory maximums are on the various charges. 2 THE COURT: Well, I mean, I've got a signed plea 3 agreement here, so I assume that I can read it. 4 problem is there's an alleged violation of 18 United States 5 Code, Section 371, and I don't see that here listed in the 6 7 charges that I have gone over. I see a violation of Section 1030, 1029, 1028A. So I suppose 371 is the 8 conspiracy. All right. 9 10 MR. HEYMANN: Yes, your Honor. 11 THE COURT: Fine. 12 THE CLERK: Do you want the indictment? 13 THE COURT: No, I have it. I now understand. 14 THE CLERK: I mean the information, not the indictment. 15 16 THE COURT: Thank you. 17 If you're guilty of conspiracy, the statutory maximum, 18 we call it, is five years in prison, three years of supervised release, a \$250,000 fine. 19 20 For violation of fraud by use of computer, the maximum is ten years, three years of supervised release, 21 22 \$250,000 fine. 23 Violation of fraud using these credit card numbers, 24 the maximum statutory penalty is 15 years, three years of supervised release, and a \$250,000 fine. 25

1 But aggravated identity theft, that's a little That has a mandatory term of two years -- I 2 different. haven't got any choice on that -- on and after whatever else 3 I sentence you to. Whatever else the sentence is then 4 5 you've got to have two years more on top of that. And then it's up to me about supervised release, but I can give you 6 7 up to three years, and up to a \$250,000 fine, and forfeiture of all the property derived from the fraudulent activity and 8 the conspiracy. 9 10 Now, do you understand those are the statutory maximums, and for aggravated identity theft, the minimum? 11 12 Yes. Α 13 So we're going to start out with two years, absolute 14 minimum. 15 MS. FRIED: Your Honor? 16 THE COURT: Yes. 17 MS. FRIED: Could I just interrupt the proceedings 18 for a moment so I could have a little consultation with --19 THE COURT: You can; you go right ahead. 20 MS. FRIED: -- Mr. Heymann for just a minute. MR. HEYMANN: And, your Honor, if I may, simply 21 22 while we are at this break, the plea agreement includes a 23 waiver of appeal in paragraph 6 and an agreement to forfeit

in paragraph 10, and the Court may wish to inquire of the --

24

1 THE COURT: I do, and thank you. MR. HEYMANN: 2 Okay. (Whereupon counsel conferred.) 3 All right, Ms. Fried? THE COURT: 4 5 MS. FRIED: Almost. I'm sorry. It has to do with putting the correct statutory maximums on the record, your 6 7 Honor. THE COURT: Well, that's a matter of the most 8 marginal significance because it's highly unlikely that we 9 will approach any of the statutory maximums in this 10 11 quasi-determinant sentencing system we have. 12 MR. HEYMANN: Your Honor, the issue arises -- let 13 me take it in two steps, if I can. 14 Counsel is raising a question, and it's hard for me 15 to flow through a complex statute on the fly with, candidly, 16 as to whether or not in the instance of 1030(a)(2)(C) it 17 should be a five year maximum rather than a ten year maximum 18 as reflected in the plea agreement and whether with respect to 1029(a)(3) it ought to be a ten year maximum instead of a 19 20 five year -- instead of a 15 year maximum. THE COURT: I consider the matter peripheral at 21 22 best, because what's going to drive the sentence here is the 23 individual facts pertaining to this offender, the advice 24 from the sentencing commission, capped by the, what I 25 consider the highest constitutionally reasonable sentence.

1 Now, I suppose you've talked these things through. So, why don't we go on without resolving that, and if 2 there's anything, Ms. Fried, to protect your client, if 3 there's anything that would affect Mr. Toey in any 4 substantive way, I'll see to it he's not prejudiced. 5 MS. FRIED: I just wanted to do that because I do 6 think that there's a discrepancy between my calculations of 7 the statutory maximums to what they're reflected in the plea 8 9 agreement. 10 THE COURT: That's all in my judgment peripheral. Now, let's --11 12 MS. FRIED: All right. 13 THE COURT: -- get to things that make a difference 14 here. 15 I'm not given a proposed sentencing guidelines 16 calculus, but I need one. So, Mr. Heymann, why don't I turn 17 to you. 18 Putting aside any deductions, any deductions, how do you calculate the sentencing guidelines here? 19 MR. HEYMANN: And if I may simply state for the 20 record, your Honor, if indeed there is an error in the 21 22 numbers that are contained in the plea agreement, they are, 23 the numbers according to what counsel's just shown me would 24 be lower than the Court has just advised the defendant of, not higher. So if anything, his exposure is less, not 25

greater, than he's already been advised of.

THE COURT: Thank you.

MR. HEYMANN: Your Honor, this is a quite exceptional circumstance for the Court and I think the courthouse in general because the guidelines as I'm about to take the Court through in fact are a life sentence which means that the guidelines exceed those of the maximum sentences, however, they are statutorily pegged and required for the defendant.

They start -- should I take the Court through the analysis or just through the ultimate numbers?

THE COURT: Just do the calculus, yes.

MR. HEYMANN: It starts off with an offense level of seven under 2B1.1(a). The loss figure is extraordinary, that's what drives the guidelines here. Because the loss figure exceeds \$400 million, it adds 30 levels. It's a combination here of Application Note 2B1.1(F)(1) and the fact that over 40 million distinct credit and debit cards were involved. It's also driven by the loss of one of the locations that were, two of the locations really that were hacked into and from which credit and debit cards were stolen during the course of the conspiracy to which the defendant is pleading guilty.

It then adds six levels pursuant to 2B1.1(2)(C) because there are over, the ultimate victims in this case,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
typically the banks, for whom the loss is carried through,
and there are, in our current estimation, over 250 of those.
An increase of two levels because the offense involved
stolen property and the defendant was a person, a business
of receiving and selling stolen property. That's subpart
(4). Under subpart (9), the offense involved sophisticated
       That increases it by an additional two levels.
         With respect to -- because it involved unauthorized
access devices, it increases it an additional two levels
under subpart (10).
         And finally, under 3B1.3, the use of special skills
in a manner that facilitated the commission and concealment
of the offense increases it by two levels.
         The combination of that series of additions to the
base offense level puts it very well above the high end of
the guidelines table and therefore creates a guidelines
level of life.
         THE COURT: Even with a criminal history category
of I?
        MR. HEYMANN: Even with a criminal history category
of I.
         THE COURT: Thank you.
   Did you hear what Mr. Heymann had to say?
Q
Α
    Yes.
    What he had to say makes some of the things that I've
```

just said completely beside the point. Because the way the congress through the sentencing commission is advising me, if that were all I was looking at, I could give you a sentence up to life imprisonment, but of course I may not. Because each of these separate offenses has a statutory maximum. And the most I could do is go to the statutory maximums and then make one run after the other. So, it does make a difference whether the statutory maximum is ten years for a particular offense or five years.

MS. FRIED: Your Honor?

Q If we, if we go with what's here in the plea agreement, I could theoretically, I'm not saying I would, but I could theoretically add up five years for conspiracy, ten years for the fraud by computer, another 15 years for the access codes, and that takes us to 30 years. Plus, I have to add two years for aggravated identity theft. That gets us to 32 years. Those are the statutory maxima. Though, given the fact your counsel has raised a problem with it, the way to say it to you is, it's a legal issue that's complex. If she's right, it may be lower than that. But whatever those statutory maxima are, no judge, including me, could go higher than the statutory maxima adding one consecutively after the other.

Do you understand that?

A I understand.

```
1
          And I could do the same thing with the fines, and I must
      add up the special assessments of 100 or $200.
 2
               Now, since there's a plea agreement, let's go over
 3
      that agreement.
 4
                First, take a look at it. Did you sign the plea
 5
      agreement? Look at the last page.
 6
 7
               MS. FRIED: Do you need your copy?
                THE DEFENDANT: Yes, please.
 8
          Is that your signature on the last page there?
 9
      Q
10
      Α
          Yes.
          Did you talk this all over with Ms. Fried before you
11
12
      signed it?
13
      Α
          Yes.
14
      Q
          Did you read it?
15
      Α
          Yes.
16
          Do you believe you understand it?
      Q
17
      Α
          Yes.
18
          Now, let's look at a few parts of this. I want you to
      look specifically at -- I'm going to skip, I'm going to come
19
20
      back to this sentence recommendation here, but I want you to
      look at paragraph 6.
21
22
               Do you see here that it says that's a waiver of
23
      your right, you're giving up your right to appeal if I
24
      follow the terms of this plea agreement and to collaterally
      challenge it, like bring a petition for writ of habeas
25
```

```
1
      corpus.
 2
               Do you see that?
 3
      Α
          Yes.
          Now, I have to tell you, I'm not so sure that legally
 4
      they can impose that. But it's here. And you've signed it.
 5
      And you can be sure that if I, if I follow the plea
 6
 7
      agreement and I sentence you lawfully and you try to appeal,
      or bring a petition for habeas corpus, they're going to be
 8
 9
      right in here telling me, or telling some higher court, that
      you don't have any right to do that. And then, at that
10
11
      time, either I or the higher court's going to have to figure
12
      out whether they can. I have problems with it, but it says
      it here, and I must take that into account.
13
14
               Do you want to talk to Ms. Fried?
15
      Α
          Please.
16
      Q
          Sure.
17
                (Whereupon Ms. Fried and Mr. Toey conferred.)
18
      Q
          Had enough time to talk to Ms. Fried?
19
      Α
          Yes.
20
          Any questions you want to ask me?
      Q
21
          No.
      Α
22
          Look over here at paragraph 7, it says other
23
      post-sentence events. Now, they think now that you're
24
      criminal history category I, but when the probation office
      investigates it if you're a higher criminal history, or if I
25
```

1 were to calculate the wrong criminal history, this says on resentencing you give up the right to challenge that. I 2 don't think they have the right to put that in there either, 3 and I'm telling you that. But it's here. And if there's 4 any resentencing to be done, if you plead guilty, I'm not 5 going to do it. It will be before some other judge. So 6 7 some other judge, not me, who may really think this is fine, is going to have to sort that out. 8 9 Do you understand that? 10 Yes. Α Now, look at paragraphs -- paragraph 8, especially over 11 here on page 7, paragraph 8B. That sets forth the situation 12 13 where the government might recommend a lenient sentence. 14 Do you understand that? 15 Α Yes. 16 Do you know that's not, that it's up to them whether to 17 make such a recommendation? 18 Yes. Α It's not up to -- I mean, ultimately I will decide what 19 20 the sentence is. But I can't invoke this section of the sentencing guidelines on my own. I'll listen to everything 21 22 that anyone tells me. But it's up to them to make this 23 motion that's referred to here in paragraph 8. 24 Do you understand that? 25 Α Yes.

1 Now, look over here on paragraph 9 on page 8. You see that I'm not bargaining with you in any way. I'll impose 2 the sentence that is appropriate under the law. I'll listen 3 to the lawyers. I'll listen to you. I'll listen to my 4 probation officer. But I will impose the sentence 5 appropriate under the law. 6 Do you understand? 7 Yes. 8 Α Paragraph 10, forfeiture. This, this sets forth all 9 those money and property that they may well go after in this 10 11 case, and by pleading guilty then there's no doubt that the 12 matters can be forfeited insofar as they are yours and under 13 your control. 14 Do you understand that? 15 Α Yes. 16 Now, let's go back to the sentence recommendation which 17 is found here in paragraph 3. As far as this goes, the 18 government isn't going to make a recommendation. Is that how you understand it? 19 20 Yes. Α Now, you reserve the right, you have --21 22 THE COURT: That's not so? 23 MS. FRIED: Well, I think the understanding is that 24 we haven't agreed in advance about what the recommendation 25 is going to be. I would characterize it more that way. We

1

don't have --

2 **THE COURT:** Oh, as of today? MS. FRIED: That's right. I mean, not that they're 3 not going to make a recommendation, but we do not have an 4 agreement about what the recommendation is. 5 MR. HEYMANN: Yes, your Honor, that is the case. 6 We don't have a recommendation as of today or agreement as 7 of today, but we will be making a recommendation at the time 8 of sentencing. 9 10 The lawyers say it better than I. But, you know, if you plead guilty, you're pleading guilty today, so you know if 11 12 you're pleading guilty, before you know what the lawyers are 13 going to be saying to me, though it is clear in here your 14 lawyer has the right to argue to me on your behalf that I go 15 below what the sentencing guidelines advise. 16 And you understand that? 17 Α Yes. 18 So, while we're going to hear a recommendation for the government, we don't know what it is today. 19 20 Do you understand? 21 Yes. Α 22 So, I just want you to be clear. It looks like when I 23 look at all the data I have today, theoretically, I could 24 sentence you to the statutory maximum on each of these offenses and add each one, four of them, one on top of the 25

```
1
      other to the statutory maximum.
 2
                Do you understand that?
 3
      Α
          Yes.
          And at a minimum, the very least I could do, I have to
 4
      send you to prison for two years.
 5
                Do you understand that?
 6
 7
      Α
          Yes.
          Now, other than this agreement that you've made with the
 8
      government has anybody promised you anything to get you to
 9
      plead guilty?
10
11
      Α
          No.
12
          Has anyone threatened you with anything to get you to
13
      plead guilty?
14
      Α
          No.
15
          Are you covering up for someone else by pleading quilty
16
      yourself?
17
      Α
          No.
18
          Do you know if you're not a citizen of the United
19
      States, conviction of this crime may have the consequence of
20
      your being deported from the United States, denied admission
      under the laws of the United States, denied naturalization
21
      under the laws of the United States.
22
23
                Do you know that?
24
          Yes.
      Α
          Have you had enough time to talk all this over with Ms.
25
      Q
```

1 Fried? 2 Α Yes. Do you think she's been a good lawyer for you, gotten 3 for you those things which are your rights under the law? 4 She has. 5 Α Satisfied with her representation of you? 6 Q 7 Α Yes. Still want to plead guilty? 8 Q 9 Α Yes. 10 Why? Q 11 Ah, they have enough evidence other than what I'm 12 pleading guilty to that would make it a lot worse in my 13 opinion. 14 All right. MS. FRIED: Your Honor, may I add something before 15 16 the Court accepts the plea, and it goes back to this 17 guidelines issue. 18 THE COURT: You may. 19 MS. FRIED: And what I wanted to say is that 20 Mr. Heymann in his allocution set forth some of the pertinent enhancements in terms of the categories of what 21 22 the enhancements are. I simply want the Court to know that 23 Mr. Toey isn't necessarily agreeing, for example, that the 24 loss figure here is in fact going to be in excess of \$400

million. The reason -- and I -- and Mr. Heymann understands

1 that at this, at this juncture, right here at this guilty 2 plea hearing, I don't want there to be a misimpression that we have somehow acquiesced or adopted that. It's still very 3 early in this litigation. We know that this is a factor, we 4 know that this is the government's estimate of it. 5 6 want --7 THE COURT: But you know, you know, and the matter's very serious to me --8 9 MS. FRIED: Yes. 10 THE COURT: -- that I'll give him a jury trial 11 which will include the loss figure and the government's 12 going to have to prove it beyond a reasonable doubt on 13 evidence to a jury. So, when we get up, start leaping up 14 here all the way to a life sentence, I'm going to be 15 listening to Mr. Heymann and then turn to you, Mr. Toey, and 16 say is that true. And if it's not true, you're going to 17 have to take exception at that time. And one of those 18 things is the loss figure. So, while of course the matter can be argued at the time of sentence, unless he wants a 19 20 trial here, he's agreeing that it's in that category. 21 That's how I approach it. 22 MS. FRIED: I just --23 THE COURT: For a voluntary plea.

MS. FRIED: Well, I guess what I wanted to say,

your Honor, is that, and Mr. Heymann will correct me if I'm

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

wrong, at the time of sentencing in this case should there be a material disagreement between the defense and the government about, really what we're talking about is the loss figure and whether it is --THE COURT: Yes. MS. FRIED: -- actually at this maximum level --THE COURT: Right. MS. FRIED: -- for guidelines purposes, it is our, it is our, I will say agreement, although it's not reduced to writing, that we would have a nontrial hearing before you. THE COURT: In the usual course of sentencing. MS. FRIED: In the usual course of sentencing under traditional sentencing guidelines practice. THE COURT: I can explain that. Let me explain that to him, and thank you for saying it. MS. FRIED: All right. The reason she talks is to help you, represent you. I Q don't mean to be glib, but she's reminding me of something. I have these sentencing guidelines. And they're only advisory, but I take them very seriously. And these guidelines have different elements than what you and I talked about in order to find out whether you are guilty or not guilty of the specific crimes. And one of the elements is what the loss was, how

much the loss was. And Mr. Heymann says, well, it's over \$400 million. And that's what takes us up to a potential, it can't be life, but it could be up to 32 years.

Now, in this session of the Court, and I want you to understand this, you don't have to agree to that today. And, in fact, Ms. Fried is saying, well, he's not really agreeing to that. One of the rights I will give you is a jury trial on that issue. And they'll have to prove the loss before a jury on evidence, not what lawyers tell me, but on actual evidence that can be cross-examined, and I'll say to the jury beyond a reasonable doubt is it over \$400. Now, I'll give you that.

Do you understand that?

A Yes.

Q But she says on your behalf what she and Mr. Heymann agree is that you'll plead guilty today, but when we come to sentencing, we'll leave that number open, but I'm going to decide that number based upon obviously every written thing that's put before me, a presentence report, anything else that I have in writing, and I'll listen to Mr. Heymann's argument, I'll listen to Ms. Fried's argument, I'll listen to whatever you have to say, but then I'll decide it, which means you've given up a jury trial, you've given up proof, because that isn't proof, that's just looking at documents. You've given up cross-examination and you've given up a

```
1
      jury. I'll do it.
               She says you are okay with that and so is the
2
      government. Are you okay with that?
 3
          Yes.
 4
      Α
          Very well. All right. Now, the only thing then that
 5
      remains --
 6
7
               THE COURT: And that's it, right, the loss figure?
               MS. FRIED: I think that's it. I don't think that
8
      the other -- yes, that's the one matter.
9
               THE COURT: We'll have that in mind.
10
          I'm going to ask Mr. Heymann to tell me what he hopes he
11
      Q
12
      could put before the jury. Now, reserving on the loss
13
      figure, because we've now talked through how that's going to
14
      be figured out if you plead guilty, you listen to what he
15
      has to say, because then I'm going to turn to you and say:
16
      Is that true? And if any of it's not true, you tell me,
17
      because I'm going to think it is if you tell me it is true.
18
               THE COURT: Mr. Heymann, briefly.
               MR. HEYMANN: Your Honor, may I have just a moment
19
20
      to ask an agent to go to Judge Bowler's courtroom in which
      I'm due in ten minutes just so that --
21
22
               THE CLERK: I can call.
23
               THE COURT: You're not going to talk for ten
24
      minutes.
25
               MR. HEYMANN: All right. Your Honor --
```

THE COURT: Three would be sufficient for us.

MR. HEYMANN: I promise.

Your Honor, were this case to go to trial, the evidence would include, as follows. That Patrick Damon Toey met co-conspirator, Albert Gonzalez, online in approximately 1999. A few years later Gonzalez invited him up to New York where the two used blank plastic cards with magnetic stripes on which fraudulently obtained payment card or debit card and credit card information had been recorded to obtain cash from ATM's.

In 2004, Gonzalez asked Mr. Toey whether he would like to sell dumps -- that's stolen track 2 data -- for him, splitting the proceeds. Track 2 data is data encoded on the magnetic stripes on the backs of credit and debit cards read by ATM machines and credit card readers. Mr. Toey agreed.

From then until 2006, including the period of in or about October 2004 charged in the information to which he is pleading today, Mr. Toey sold dumps for Gonzalez. Toey typically received payment for the dumps in web-based currency such as E-gold and WebMoney. At Gonzalez's direction he transferred these payments to Gonzalez's numbered WebMoney currency accounts. Gonzalez in turn arranged for a portion of the funds to be converted to American dollars available to Mr. Toey. Some of the money was made available on an ATM card issued by a Latvian bank

under an alias. Other sums of money were simply sent to Mr.

Toey by Western Union through a third party web currency
conversion service.

Moving up now to the fall of 2007, Mr. Toey moved to Miami at the invitation of Gonzalez and lived rent free in a condominium there owned by Gonzalez. While there, Mr. Toey provided Gonzalez with assistance in performing web-based attacks on companies in order to gain access to their computers and information from which the two could benefit, including dumps.

Mr. Toey was successful on a number of occasions in gaining access to computer networks over the Internet. He would typically pass the information along to Gonzalez who would either continue hacking the networks himself, looking for sensitive financial information, or would pass the information along to another group of hackers for this purpose.

By way of example, and it's specifically charged in the information, Mr. Toey found a vulnerability in the computer network operated by specialty clothing retailer Forever 21 and gained access to it. He passed the means of access along to Gonzalez who worked on it with another hacker to obtain payment card information. Mr. Toey also set up computer servers for Gonzalez and his co-conspirators. Two servers utilized by the conspirators

```
1
      for, among other purposes, to store stolen credit and debit
 2
      card information contained over 40 million distinct credit
      and debit card numbers.
 3
                And just to clarify one point. That figure of over
 4
      400 million flows from the 40 million credit cards on those
 5
      servers times the guidelines minimum of $500 per card.
 6
                THE COURT: All right.
 7
                              Thank you, your Honor.
 8
               MR. HEYMANN:
                THE COURT: Thank you. Excellent, in terms of
 9
      time.
10
11
          Did you hear what Mr. Heymann had to say?
12
          Yes.
      Α
13
          Now, putting aside for the moment the ultimate number
14
      for the loss, do you understand those things?
15
      Α
          Yes.
16
          Are those things true?
      Q
17
      Α
          Yes.
18
          So, as I understand it, you're pleading guilty because
      they've got the goods on you, and maybe more, and you
19
20
      acknowledge that you are guilty of these four crimes.
      that right?
21
22
          Yes.
      Α
23
                THE COURT: All right, I find that Mr. Damon
24
      Patrick Toey knowingly, intelligently and voluntarily
      exercises his right to plead quilty and the clerk may
25
```

1 arraign him now. She's going to now do the formal arraignment. You can 2 plead not quilty or quilty. If you plead not quilty we'll 3 set it for trial, the plea agreement doesn't count. 4 plead guilty, you're guilty, no taking it back, no starting 5 6 over. Do you understand? 7 8 Α Yes. 9 THE COURT: The clerk may arraign Mr. Toey. THE CLERK: Damon Patrick Toey, you have been 10 11 charged in a four count arraignment with violating 18 12 U.S.C., Section 371, conspiracy, 18 U.S.C., Section 1029, access device fraud, 18 U.S.C., Section 1028A, identity 13 14 theft, and 18 U.S.C., Section 1030, damage to computer 15 systems. 16 What say you now to Counts 1, 2, 3 and 4, guilty or 17 not guilty? 18 THE DEFENDANT: Guilty. 19 THE COURT: Thank you. You may step down. 20 (Whereupon the defendant stepped down.) THE COURT: Status of bail? I've been -- I have a 21 22 report from our Pretrial Services Department that sets out 23 the conditions of bail. Have each one of you seen it? 24 MS. FRIED: I haven't quite had a chance to finish 25 reading it, but I think I know pretty much what is

1 recommended, your Honor. 2 THE COURT: Well, what do you say, Mr. Heymann? MR. HEYMANN: Your Honor, if I may address the 3 Court on it. The concern here is not risk of flight but 4 rather the risk to the community posed by the defendant who 5 has a lifelong passion for and a lifelong history of --6 THE COURT: What's your recommendation and then 7 we'll get the rationale. 8 9 MR. HEYMANN: Okay. The recommendation is that any computers in the household in which he resides be secured in 10 such a way that he will not have access to them by --11 THE COURT: That's one of the conditions. 12 13 MR. HEYMANN: Pardon? 14 THE COURT: No Internet or computer access of any 15 kind. 16 THE PRETRIAL SERVICES OFFICER: Your Honor, if I 17 may. I've spoken to the defendant's mother. 18 THE COURT: Yes. THE PRETRIAL SERVICES OFFICER: And the computers 19 20 will be encrypted as I've told the U.S. Attorney. She was going to call me back and tell me when that could be done. 21 22 They would have encrypted passwords which the defendant 23 would not have access to. 24 THE COURT: Well, I want more than that. 25 access. No computers in the household. They have to get

```
1
      the computers out of the household --
               THE PRETRIAL SERVICES OFFICER: Yes, your Honor.
 2
               THE COURT: -- if he's going to be at liberty.
 3
               MR. HEYMANN: And that he --
 4
 5
               THE COURT: Are you satisfied with that, Mr.
      Heymann?
 6
7
               MR. HEYMANN: Yes, your Honor. And that he be put
      on electronic monitoring to ensure that he is in the
8
      household so that he does not go outside to --
9
10
               THE COURT: Again, if you've read this it says
      that. I thought you read this.
11
12
               MR. HEYMANN: I am comfortable with it, your Honor.
13
      I didn't --
14
               THE COURT: All right, fine, that's your
15
      recommendation.
16
               Ms. Fried, satisfactory?
17
               MS. FRIED: Your Honor, I have to -- let me just
18
      pose a potential problem. If there's some reason that my
      client's family is either unable or unwilling to get all of
19
20
      the computers out of the house then perhaps a place with his
      mother does not work as a placement and if that --
21
22
               THE COURT: Well, that's the requirement of the
23
      Court.
24
               MS. FRIED: I understand that. And what I'm trying
25
      to suggest is that if that can't be accomplished we may need
```

to be back here to set some other kind of --

THE COURT: You may, indeed, and we better do it immediately.

So he's released on \$10,000 unsecured bond. He's to report to the U.S. Probation Office in the Eastern District of Virginia in Norfolk. He's placed on electronic monitoring. And he is allowed to leave the home to seek employment, go to church, medical appointments, and to participate in employment if the probation office of the Eastern District so approves.

He's to submit to random drug testing. He's to participate in in-patient and outpatient drug and mental health treatment and initial evaluation. He's not to possess any illegal drugs or controlled substances. No use of alcohol. His travel is restricted to the Eastern District of Virginia and to the District of Massachusetts, coming to Massachusetts for court purposes only, and of course the states intervening so he can travel back and forth.

He's not to have any Internet or computer access of any kind, and there is not to be computer access or Internet in the home.

He's not to possess any weapons or dangerous devices. He's to notify Pretrial Services within 24 hours of any contact with law enforcement. He's not to violate

```
1
      any federal, state or local laws, and the other statutory
 2
      conditions.
 3
                That's the order of the Court. He's released on
      those terms. We'll call the next case.
 4
                (Whereupon the Court and the Clerk conferred.)
 5
                THE COURT: Ms. Fried?
 6
 7
               MS. FRIED: Yes.
                THE COURT: Take your client down to probation
 8
 9
      while we get through with this next hearing.
10
               MS. FRIED: I will.
11
                THE CLERK: Pretrial.
12
                THE COURT: Down to pretrial, I'm sorry.
13
               MR. HEYMANN: Thank you, your Honor.
                THE COURT: And remain with him there. We'll call
14
15
      the next case.
16
                (Whereupon the matter concluded.)
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

CERTIFICATE I, Donald E. Womack, Official Court Reporter for the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts, do hereby certify that the foregoing pages are a true and accurate transcription of my shorthand notes taken in the aforementioned matter to the best of my skill and ability. /S/ DONALD E. WOMACK 5-5-2010 DONALD E. WOMACK Official Court Reporter P.O. Box 51062 Boston, Massachusetts 02205-1062 womack@megatran.com